Constructed variables in the Swiss Household Panel

Version 17.02.2023

This document presents background information on the construction of variables in the following domains:

1. Survey participation
2. Socio-demographic information
3. Education
4. Occupation and social position
5. Income
6. Geographical information.

For a complete list of constructed variables, we refer to our search tool on www.swisspanel.ch.

1. Survey participation

Table 1 Constructed variables related to survey participation

Variable name	Variable label	Description
OSM	Original sample member	indicates whether a sample member was present in the first wave of the sample (1999, 2004, 2013 and 2020 for SHP_I, _II, _III and _IV respectively). People who join the panel after the first wave are "non-original sample members" (non-OSMs). Categories: OSM, child of OSM and non-OSM. Included in the MP file.
RNPX$$	Participation status	gives the most recent available information concerning participation status. It distinguishes between participation, non-contact, and various categories of refusal and ineligibility. For example, if a respondent left the country in Wave 10, then in that wave and in all waves thereafter the variable will indicate this status. Included in the MP file.
STATUS$$	Type of interview completed: grid, proxy, personal	shows the sample member's participation in the wave to which $$ refers. Categories: completed individual questionnaire, the reference person completed a proxy questionnaire for this person, sample member is mentioned in the grid only. All other cases are set to missing. Included in both the MP and annual P files.

2. Socio-demographic information

Table 2 Constructed household typology variables in household file[a]

Variable name	Description	Information used for construction
HLDTYP$$	Type of household Classification adopted from **European Community Household Panel** (Eurostat, 2003) and PACO	Relationship to other persons in household, civil status, number of persons and children in household
HLDFFS$$	Household typology adopted from the **Fertility and Family Survey (FFS). The FFS** was launched by the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and was commissioned by the Swiss Federal Statistical Office for Switzerland (www.bfs.admin.ch).	Relationship to other persons in household, civil status, number of persons and children in household
HLDCEN$$	Household typology **Swiss Census**, Swiss Federal Statistical Office (www.bfs.admin.ch)	Relationship to other persons in household, civil status, number of persons and children in household

[a]) An additional family typology HLDCOMP$$ is released as a separate datafile. This typology shows the different conjugal and parental relationships, distinguishing between own, shared and stepchildren, lone parent households and between married and cohabiting couples. See https://forscenter.ch/projects/swiss-household-panel/documentation/ under SHP Main study documentation, additional documentation for more information on this variable.

Table 3 Constructed household composition variables in household file

Variable name	Description	Information used for construction
MAXCOH$$	Maximum duration of existence of household in years	Longest time of two members living together in years (information from grid)
NBADUL$$	Number of adults in hld (>=18)	Information from grid
NBKID$$	Number of children in hld (0-17)	Information from grid
AOLDKI$$	Age of oldest coresident child (max. 17)	Information from grid
AYOUKI$$	Age of youngest coresident child (max. 17)	Information from grid
ADUK1_$$	Number of adult children in hld (>=18 & <30)	Information from grid and individual questionnaire
ADUK2_$$	Number of adult children in hld (>=30)	Information from grid and individual questionnaire
NBB_$$	New-born baby: birth between two consecutive grid interviews or within last 12 months if no previous year grid interview	Information from household and individual master file

Table 4 Constructed socio-demographic variables in individual files

Variable name	Description	Information used for construction
AGE$$	Difference between year of birth and the year of interview (the year of the beginning of the wave in question, even when interview took place beginning of following calendar year)	Collected once, confirmed next waves
SEX$$	Gender of respondent	Collected once, confirmed next waves
CIVSTA$$	Civil status in year of interview	Information from household grid and personal interview. Equivalent to question P$$D13. Individual information is considered more reliable than from reference person
MAXCOP$$	Max. time in years of person living with someone else in household	Information from grid
NAT_1_$$	First nationality	Grid and individual questionnaire
NAT_2_$$	Second nationality	Grid and individual questionnaire
NAT_3_$$	Third nationality	Grid and individual questionnaire
REG_1_$$ REG_2_$$ REG_3_$$	Nationality by world region, based on the nomenclature of the Federal statistical office. Categories: Switzerland, Northern Europe, Eastern Europe, Central Europe, Western Europe, South-West Europe, Southern Europe, South-East Europe, Africa, Northern America, Latin America, Asia, Oceania and Antarctica.	Grid and individual questionnaire
HAB_CH$$	Duration of residence in CH	Grid and individual questionnaire
OWNKID$$	Number of own (biological or adopted) children (individual level)	Constructed based in individual questionnaire, verified by the respondent

3. Education

Table 5 Constructed variables related to education in the individual files[1]

Variable name	Description	Information used for construction
EDUCAT$$	Highest level of education achieved (11 categories)	Household grid and individual interview. Individual interview considered more reliable.
EDCAT$$	Highest level of education achieved (17 categories)	Household grid and individual interview. Individual interview considered more reliable.
ISCED$$	International Standard Classification of Education. Highest level of education achieved (10 categories)	Based on EDCAT$$ and the ISCED-classification scheme.[1]
EDYEAR$$	Years of education	Based on the ISCED-classification. Gives the number of years relative to the highest finished type of education (estimation)[2]

[1]) For a complete list of variables on education we advise to go to our website and browse through our research tools (https://forscenter.ch/projects/swiss-household-panel/documentation/).

Table 6 shows how the values on the variable EDCAT$$ translate to the values of ISCED$$ and EDYEAR$$. ISCED$$ is based on the classification scheme from 1997. In Wave 19, however, we introduced four new items (P$$E43 - E46) that allow distinguishing university degrees. This new information makes it possible to construct an education variable based on the International Standard Classification of Education 2011. While we do not provide this variable in the individual file, the syntax is available upon request.

---

[1] Bundesamt für Statistik (BFS). 2015. Nomenclatures – International Standard Classification of Education. http://www.portal-stat.admin.ch/isced97/docs/do-d-15.02-isced-01.pdf (German), or http://www.portal-stat.admin.ch/isced97/docs/do-f-15.02-isced-01.pdf (French). See Table 6 for the conversion from EDCAT$$.
[2] See Table 6 for the conversion from EDCAT$$.

Table 6 Values of EDCAT$$, EDYEAR$$ and ISCED$$

EDCAT	EDCAT	EDYEAR	ISCED
Value label	Value	Value	Classif.
Specialized school for handicapped	-6	-6	-6
Pre-obligatory schooling	-5	0	0
Not yet school age	-4	0	0
No answer	-2	-2	-2
Does not know	-1	-1	-1
Incomplete compulsory school	0	8[a]	0
Compulsory school	1	9	2
Elementary vocational training	2	10	3C
Domestic science course, 1 year school of commerce	3	10	3C
General training school	4	10	3C
Apprenticeship (CFC, EFZ)	5	12	3B
Full-time vocational school	6	12	3B
Vocational maturity	7	14	4A
Teacher training college	8	13	3A
Bachelor/maturity (high school)	9	13	3A
Vocational high school with MA certificate, federal certificate	10	16	5B
Technical or vocational school	11	16	5B
Vocational high school ETS, HTL etc.	12	16	5B
University of teacher education HEP, PH	13	18	5A
University of applied sciences HES, FH	14	18	5A
University, academic high school, EPF, ETH	15	18	5A
PhD	16	21	6

[a]) For all respondents aged older than 15. Respondents younger than 6 are coded 0, for respondents between 6 and 15 we subtracted 6 from their age.

4. Occupation and social position

WSTAT$$: Work status is constructed from P$$W01 (working for pay last week), P$$W03 (have a job although not working last week) and P$$W06 (can start work immediately), from the individual questionnaire. Another occupational variable is OCCUPA$$, this information comes from the grid and should be considered as less reliable.

All social stratification measures presented below are based on the respondents' occupational titles, which were carefully coded by the Swiss Federal Office of Statistics[3]. This Swiss-specific code was then recoded into the International Standard of Classification of Occupations (ISCO-88), developed by the International Labour Office[4] (1990).

Users interested in **ISCO-08** codes can transform Swiss-specific occupation codes (P$$W28, X$$W01, P$$W111, X$$W06, P$$O12, P$$O29, P$$O46) with the excel table OCCUPATION_ISCO_08.xlsx provided with our documentation delivered with the data The SHP provides the following occupational classifications:

4.1 The Wright class structure (Wright III)
4.2 Erikson, Goldthorpe and Portocarero's class schema (EGP)
4.3 The European Socio-economic Classification (ESeC)

---

[3] Cf. Joye and Schuler (1995). For a discussion on how occupations are to some extent reflections of their national and temporal context, see Levy (2002).
[4] If some minor adjustments are made to adapt it to the European context, the label ISCO-88 (COM) is used.

For a comprehensive description of the different classifications we refer to Bergman and Joye (2001) (https://forscenter.ch/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/indicateurs-position-sociale-en.pdf), and Bergman et al. (2002).

Tables 7 to 9 show the variables used to construct the different classifications. The classification of the respondent's last job (is4laj$$), father's occupation and mother's occupation are done in the same way. The following explanation of the construction of the classification for the respondent's current occupation is therefore also applicable to the respondent's last occupation and father's and mother's occupation.

Table 7 Variables used to construct classifications for respondent's current occupation

	Variable name	profession and sectors	education	Hierarchical level	Number of employees of self-employed	status (self-employed, employee, etc.)	gender
WRIGHT3	WR3MAJ$$	IS4MAJ$$	EDUCAT$$	P$$W34	P$$W31	P$$W29	
GOLDTHORPE	GLDMAJ$$	IS4MAJ$$		P$$W34	P$$W31	P$$W29	
ESeC	ESECMJ$$	IS3MAJ$$		P$$W34	P$$W31	P$$W29	
CSP	CSPMAJ$$	P$$W28	EDUCAT$$	P$$W34	P$$W31	P$$W29	
TREIMAN	TR1MAJ$$	IS4MAJ$$		P$$W34	P$$W31	P$$W29	
CAMSIS	CAIMAJ$$	P$$W28					SEX

Table 8 Variables used to construct classifications for respondent's last occupation

	Variable name	Profession and sectors	education	Hierarchical level	Number of employees of self-employed	status (self-employed, employee, etc.)	gender
WRIGHT3	WR3LAJ$$	IS4LAJ$$	EDUCAT$$	P$$W117	P$$W114	P$$W112	
GOLDTHORPE	GLDLAJ$$	IS4LAJ$$		P$$W117	P$$W114	P$$W112	
ESeC	ESECLJ$$	IS3LAJj$$		P$$W117	P$$W114	P$$W112	
CSP	CSPLAJ$$	P$$W111	EDUCAT$$	P$$W117	P$$W114	P$$W112	
TREIMAN	TR1LAJ$$	IS4LAJ$$		P$$W117	P$$W114	P$$W112	
CAMSIS	CAILAJ$$	P$$W111					SEX$$

Table 9 Variables used for classifications for father's and mother's occupation

	Variable name	profession	education	Hierarchical level (management, supervision, production)	Number of employees of self-employed	status (self-employed, employee, etc.)
WRIGHT3	WA3FAJ$$/ WA3MOJ$$	IS4FAJ$$/ IS4MOJ$$	P$$O17/ P$$O34	P$$O16/ P$$O33	P$$O14/ P$$O31	P$$O13/ P$$O30
GOLDTHORPE	GLDFAJ$$/ GLDMAJ$$	IS4FAJ$$/ IS4MOJ$$		P$$O16/ P$$O33	P$$O14/ P$$O31	P$$O13/ P$$O30
ESeC	ESECFA$$/ ESECMO$$	IS3FAJ$$/ IS3MOJ$$		P$$O16/ P$$O33	P$$O14/ P$$O31	P$$O13/ P$$O30
CSP	CSPFAJ$$/ CSPMAJ$$	P$$O12/ P$$O29	P$$O17/ P$$O34	P$$O16/ P$$O33	P$$O14/ P$$O31	P$$O13/ P$$O30
TREIMAN	TR1FAJ$$/ TR1MOJ$$	IS4FAJ$$/ IS4MOJ$$		P$$O16/ P$$O33	P$$O14/ P$$O31	P$$O13/ P$$O30
CAMSIS	CAIFAJ$$/ CAIMOJ$$	P$$O12/ P$$O29				

4.1 The Wright class structure (Wright III)

The Wright classification (cf. Western and Wright, 1994) is based on three dimensions: authority, expertise, and property. These dimensions form seven categories.

Several choices were made for the operationalization and adaptation of this schema, a few of which are to a certain extent necessarily somewhat arbitrary.[5]

a) Most cases of self-employment were unproblematic. In some cases, we attributed this status to family members employed in their own family business, as well as to those who considered themselves employees of their own enterprise.
b) The demarcation between "middle-class" and the "petty bourgeoisie" is often based on whether the respondent has employees. Here, by homogeneity with other classification schemas, we set the minimum qualification criteria to ten employees.
c) Competence derived from educational attainment are qualified in several ways:
   i) Directly relating to the occupation: ISCO-88 includes in its occupational classification an explicit reflection on the relations between educational attainment and occupational titles.
   ii) According to educational and training trajectories normally followed by those with a particular occupation as established from the Swiss Population Census of 1990.
   iii) Based on the respondents' attained educational and professional qualifications, whatever the relevance to their occupation.

Technically, the following rules apply:
a) "Owners/Employers": self-employed and at least 10 employees
b) "Petty bourgeoisie": self-employed and less than 10 employees
c) "Managers-Experts": professional leading or supervisory role, as well as an advanced educational attainment;

---

[5] This recodification differs slightly from that of Levy et al. (1997).

d) "Managers": salaried with supervisory position and not yet classified in any of the above categories;
e) "Professionals": salaried with advanced educational attainment but without supervisory functions;
f) "Semi-Professionals": salaried with either advanced or middling educational attainment and with middling professional requirements;
g) "Worker": other employees.

4.2 Erikson, Goldthorpe and Portocarero's class schema
The first Goldthorpe class schema was based on occupation and occupational status (self-employed, salaried). Originating from Goldthorpe and Hope's prestige scale (1974) and Goldthorpe's subsequent class schema (1987), two levels of classification were developed that included 7 or 36 categories. Further development in conjunction with the CASMIN (Comparative Analysis of Social Mobility in Industrial Countries) project makes the seven-category schema more suitable for comparative investigations, and it has established itself as the most prominent schema for comparative intergenerational mobility studies. The current schema requires information on the respondents' number of employees and supervisory function and is based on ISCO-88.

The SHP provides the adaptation of the Goldthorpe class schema by Ganzeboom and Treiman (2003):
1) Higher controllers;
2) Lower controllers;
3) Routine non-manual employees;
4) Self-employed with employees;
5) Self-employed without employees;
7) Manual supervisor;
8) Skilled manual employees;
9) Semi- and unskilled manual employees;
10) Farm labour;
11) Self-employed farmers.

4.3 The European Socio-economic Classification (ESeC)
The European Socio-economic Classification (ESeC) is a European occupational classification based on the Erikson-Goldthorpe-Portocarero Schema.[6]

The primary distinction is between *employers*, who buy the labour of others and assume some degree of authority and control over them; *self-employed* (or *'own account'*) *workers* who neither buy labour nor sell their labour to others; and *employees*, who sell their labour to employers.

Employees are further differentiated according to the employment relations of their occupation; employers are separated by size of establishment and the self-employed according to occupation. Broadly speaking, the kind of contracts employees have

---

[6] This classification was developed by a consortium of nine institutes from the UK, Germany, France, the Netherlands, Sweden, Italy and Ireland (https://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/archives/esec)

depended upon (a) how easily their work may be monitored and controlled by the employer and (b) 'asset specificity', i.e., how specific and crucial their knowledge of technical and organizational issues is to the employer.

The ESeC is based on:
- occupation coded to the minor groups (i.e., 3-digit groups) of the EU variant of the International Standard Classification of Occupations 1988 (ISCO88 (COM));
- details of employment status, i.e., whether an employer, self-employed or employee
- number of employees at the workplace
- whether a worker is a supervisor.

Table 10 The European Socio-economic Classification

	ESeC Class	Common Term
1	Large employers, higher grade professional, administrative and managerial occupations	Higher salariat
2	Lower grade professional, administrative and managerial occupations and higher grade technician and supervisory occupations	Lower salariat
3	Intermediate occupations	Higher grade white collar workers
4	Small employer and self-employed occupations (excluding agriculture etc)	Petit bourgeoisie or independents
5	Self-employed occupations (agriculture etc)	Petit bourgeoisie or independents
6	Lower supervisory and lower technician occupations	Higher grade blue collar workers
7	Lower services, sales and clerical occupations	Lower grade white collar workers
8	Lower technical occupations	Skilled workers
9	Routine occupations	Semi- and nonskilled workers
10	Never worked and long-term unemployed	Unemployed

4.4 The Swiss Socio-Professional Categories (CSP-CH)
The CSP-CH (Joye and Schuler, 1995) are based on the occupational coding of the Swiss Federal Office of Statistics, as well as educational achievement and occupational status. The significance of educational attainment may vary according to the details and title of an occupation. For example, a particular employee could be classified as being part of the intellectual professions based on her degree of managerial responsibility, without necessarily having a university education.

Table 11 Swiss Socio-Professional Categories

	University	Technical and Professional	Apprenticeship	Compulsory Education or Less
Top Executives	1) top management			
Self-Employed	2) liberal professions	3) other self-employed		
Wage-Earners	4) academic professions and senior management	5) intermediate professions	skilled: 6) non-manual 7) manual	8) unskilled

4.5 Treiman's Prestige Scale
Treiman proposes a very general stratification model based on occupational prestige ratings. His work in this area culminates in the construction and validation of the Standard International Occupational Prestige Scale. Using the four nested levels of the International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO), Treiman's occupational prestige scores for each occupation within an ISCO level are averaged to produce a score for occupational groups as summarized by ISCO.

The subjectively attributed prestige of a specific occupation is (a) linked to the privilege and power which individuals enjoy based on their occupational titles, (b) invariant across social and cultural groupings, and (c) similar across all complex modern societies.

The Treiman Prestige Scale differs from Wright and Goldthorpe's class schema not only in that it measures subjectively attributed prestige as an indicator of access to structural and functional power, but also because it explicitly models a prestige hierarchy. The prestige scores range between 0 (lowest prestige) and 100 (highest prestige; Treiman, 1977).

4.6 The Cambridge Social Interaction and Stratification Scale (CAMSIS)
The Cambridge Social Interaction and Stratification Scale (CAMSIS) is based on the idea that social structure can be expressed by the social distance between individuals. Persons sharing a similar social position, in terms of social class or status group membership, are more likely to socially interact in an equal way with members of the same group than with members of other groups. So, acquaintances, friends and marriage partners will all tend to be chosen much more frequently from within the same group than from without. [7].

CAMSIS has been developed initially from friendship networks and, subsequently, from cohabiting couples (Stewart, Prandy, and Blackburn 1980). For Switzerland, the Population Census of 1990 was used to examine the probability of co-occurrence of occupational titles between cohabiting couples.

---

[7] For more details, see Bergman, Lambert, Prandy, and Joye (2002).

The value allotted to each occupation indicates its position on this hypothetical social axis and, consequently, its distance to others. Subsequently, each occupation of the 4-digit ISCO-88 classification is allotted a CAMSIS score. The current version adjusts for national variations and is sensitive to gender. Other dimensions can be easily accommodated (e.g., ethnicity, geographic region) to incorporate specific research interests and hypotheses, and to improve the correspondence between this measure and the social categories within their context.

5. Professional integration

Paugam's typology of professional integration (PAUG$$R4) is based on a distinction between conditions of employment and conditions of work. The typology distinguishes four types of professional integration (see Paugam, 2000). Secure integration ('intégration assurée') is defined as the combination of job stability and quality of work measured objectively and subjectively. Three forms of integration deviate from this model: *insecure integration* ('intégration incertaine') is the result of unstable job but good working conditions and satisfaction at work; *constrained integration* ('intégration laborieuse') is the product of a stable job, but with work constraints leading to dissatisfaction; and *disqualifying integration* ('intégration disqualifiante') corresponds to the combination of job instability and poor working conditions.

6. Income

Individual income

Table 12: List of constructed income variables of individuals

Variable	Gross/net[a]	Description
I$$EMPYG	gross	Income from employment: annual amount
I$$EMPYN	net	Takes into account 13th and 14th month salary, bonuses and gratifications.
I$$INDYG	gross	Income from self-employment: annual amount
I$$INDYN	net	Takes into account 13th and 14th month salary, bonuses and gratifications if applicable.
I$$EMPMG	gross	Income from employment: monthly amount
I$$EMPMN	net	
I$$INDMG	gross	Income from self-employment: monthly amount
I$$INDMN	net	
I$$OASIY		State pension for old-age (first pillar), widow(er)s or orphans: annual amount. Includes additional benefits.
$$AIY		Disability pension: annual amount. Includes additional benefits.
I$$PENY		Income from pension schemes (second pillar old-age pension): annual amount. Includes additional benefits.
I$$UNEY		Income from unemployment social insurance: annual amount
I$$WELY		Income from welfare benefits (social assistance): annual amount
I$$GRAY		Income from scholarships, grants: annual amount. Income from private or public institution
I$$INSY		Income from any another private or public institution: annual amount
I$$FAMY		Family or child allowances: annual amount. Might additionally be included in income from employment
I$$PNHY		Payments received from individuals not in household: annual amount
I$$PIHY		Payments received from individuals in household: annual amount
I$$CAPY		Income from capital: annual amount (such as interests, dividends)
I$$RENTY		Income from letting, sub-letting: annual amount

Variable	Gross/net[a]	Description
I$$OTHY		Other income: annual amount
		For example this might include 3rd pillar, inheritance
I$$PTOTG	gross	Yearly total personal income: annual amount
I$$PTOTN	net	In most cases, total income has been calculated by adding the different income sources. In case of non-response in any of the income sources (and in some other cases in waves 1 to 5), total income refers to a global assessment of income.
		Amounts of income sources which represent one-off payments over 12'000 CHF, are not considered in total income.
I$$WYG	gross	Income from employment or self-employment: annual amount
I$$WYN	net	Takes into account 13th and 14th month salary, bonuses or gratifications if applicable.
		From 2002 on: sum of I$$EMPY, I$$INDY
I$$WMG	gross	Income from employment or self-employment: monthly amount
I$$WMN	net	
I$$STPY		Social public transfers: annual amount.
		From 2002 on: sum of I$$UNEY, I$$WELY, I$$GRAY, I$$INSY
I$$STFY		Income from private persons (informal transfers): annual amount
		From 2002 on: sum of I$$PNHY, I$$PIHY
I$$AVSY		Income from old age or disability pension: annual amount
		From 2002 on: sum of I$$OASIY, I$$AIY, I$$PENY
I$$OSY		Other income: annual amount
		Might include 3rd pillar, inheritance, income from capital, such as income from wealth, letting, sub-letting
		From 2014 on: sum of I$$CAPY, I$$RENTY, I$$OTHY

a) Net: social security contributions deducted

Household income

Table 13 List of constructed income variables of households

Variable	Gross/net	Description
	I$$HTYG, gross	Yearly income from all members
	I$$HTYN, net	Taxes not deducted
	(social security taken into account where possible)	
	I$$EQSG, gross	Yearly household income, equivalised
	I$$EQON, net	according to SKOS scale 1998.
	(social security taken into account where possible)	Taxes not deducted
	I$$EQOG, gross	Yearly household income, equivalised
	I$$EQON, net	according to modified OECD scale.
	(social security taken into account where possible)	Taxes not deducted
I$$HTAX		Simulated direct taxes at the municipal, cantonal and federal level
I$$DISPY		Yearly household disposable income

Equivalised household income takes the size and composition of households into account by converting household income into income of one-person households. To compute equivalised household income, the household income is divided by an equivalence scale. Two different equivalence scales are used in the SHP. Firstly, the modified OECD scale (variables I$$EQON and I$$EQOG) attributes a weight of 1 to the first adult, a weight of 0.5 to all other household members from 14 years on, and a weight of 0.3 to children up to 14 years. The sum of these weights gives the modified OECD scale. Secondly, the SKOS equivalence scale (Swiss Conference of social assistance) (variables I$$EQSN and I$$EQSG) attributes a weight of 1 to a 1-person household, 1.53 to a two-person household, 1.86 to a three-person household, 2.14 to a four-person household, 2.42 to a five-person household, 2.70 to a six-person household, 2.98 to a seven-person household and increases by 0.28 to each additional person.

7. Geographical information

In addition to the region (REGION$$, 7 regions) and the canton (CANTON$$, 26 cantons) in which the household resides, different community typologies can be constructed based on the political municipality codes in which the household is located ('communes' or 'Gemeinden'). The SHP includes the typology proposed by Schuler, Dessemontet and Joye 2005 (116f) distinguishing 22 categories and an aggregated version of this variable in 9 categories. Table 5.3.14 provides the names and labels of these variables as well as how COM1$$ is aggregated into COM2_$$. Other municipality types provided by the Swiss Federal Statistical Office are available for SHP users on request.

Table 14 Coding of the community typology variables

	COM1_$$		COM2_$$
1	Great urban centres	1	Centres (1,2,3)
2	Median sized urban centres		
3	Small centres		
4	Centre of peripheral region		
5	Wealthy communes	3	Wealthy communes (5)
6	Tourist communes	5	Tourist communes (6,7)
7	Semi-tourist commune		
8	Communes with homes and asylums		
9	Labour/job communes in large central regions	2	Suburban communes (9,10,12,13)
10	Suburban residential communes in large central regions		
11	Peripheral urban communes in large central regions	4	Peripheral urban communes (11,14)
12	Labour/job communes outside large central regions		
13	Suburban residential communes outside large central		
14	Peripheral urban communes outside large central regions		
15	Net immigration communes, moderate or high proportion	7	Rural commuter communes (15,16)
16	Native resident communes, moderate or high proportion		
17	Communes with industrial and tertiary sector employment	6	Industrial and tertiary sector communes (4,8,17,18)
18	Communes with industrial employment		
19	Communes with agricultural and industrial employment	8	Mixed agricultural communes (19,20)
20	Communes with agricultural and tertiary sector employment		
21	Communes with agricultural employment population	9	Peripheral agricultural communes (21,22)
22	Communes with strongly shrinking population		

The municipality codes themselves are not included in the user file to guarantee the anonymity of the respondents. Under certain conditions are the codes available for users of the data. This requires special authorization and is only possible when anonymity of the households can be guaranteed.

References

Bergman, M. M., and Joye, D. (2001). Comparing Social Stratifications Schemas: CAMSIS, CSP-CH, Goldthorpe, ISCO-88, Treiman, and Wright. Cambridge Studies in Social Research, 9, 1-37.

Bergman, M.M., Lambert, P., Prandy, K., and Joye, D. (2002). Theorization, Construction, and Validation of a Social Stratification Scale: Cambridge Social Interaction and Stratification Scale (CAMSIS) for Switzerland. Swiss Journal of Sociology, 28, 7-25.

Ganzeboom, H. B. G., and Treiman, D. J. (2003). Three Internationally Standardised Measures for Comparative Research on Occupational Status. In J. H. P. Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik and C. Wolf (Eds.), Advances in cross-national comparison: A European working book for demographic and socio-economic variables, (pp. 159-193). New York: Kluwer Academic Press.

Goldthorpe, J. H. (1987). Social Mobility and Class Structure in Modern Britain. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Goldthorpe, J., and Hope, K. (1974). The social grading of occupations. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Joye, D., and Schuler, M. (1995). Stratification sociale en Suisse: catégories socio-professionnelle. Bern: Office fédéral de la statistique.

Levy, R. (2002). Meso-social structures and stratification analysis - A missing link? Revue suisse de sociologie, 28, 193-215.

Paugam S. (2000). Le salarié de la précarité. Les nouvelles formes de l'intégration professionnelle, Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.

Schuler, M., Dessemontet, P. and Joye, D. (2005). Die Raumgliederungen der Schweiz. Neuchâtel: Bundesamt für Statistik.

Treiman, D. J. (1977). Occupational prestige in comparative perspective. New York: Academic Press.

Western, M., & Wright, E. O. (1994). The permeability of class boundaries to intergenerational mobility among men in the United States, Canada, Norway and Sweden. American Sociological Review, 59(4), 606-629.